

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/076,100	STANGL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tim Heitbrink	1722

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*New matter of Figs. 3-6.*

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. *See above.*

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Massie agreed to the cancelation of Figs. 5 and 6 and to replace Figs. 3 and 4 as originally filed to avoid the new matter as shown by the drawings. The Examiner agreed with Mr. Massie's suggestion to the amendment to claim 8 as found in the Examiner's Amendment where the deleted part of the claim was felt to be merely functional.